

10/009979

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0006] One aspect of the present invention is, therefore, based on the object of creating a computer-aided method for parallel calculation of the operating point of electrical circuits which ensures simple, reliable and fast calculation of the operating point of the circuit.

[0007] In the method, the charging method, which has very good convergence characteristics, is used for the parallel calculation of the operating point.

[0008] As described, for example, in H. Spiro: "Simulation of integrated circuits" (2nd edition, R. Oldenbourg Verlag Munich, Vienna 1990), the charging method uses the dynamic elements such as capacitances and/or inductances existing in an electrical circuit for calculating the operating point via a pseudo-transient analysis. In this process, the dynamic elements are charged up step-by-step to a value which, in principle, can have any magnitude, particularly to the value "1", starting from a value zero.

[0009] In an embodiment according to the invention, dynamic elements such as, for example, capacitances or inductances having predetermined known values can be additionally installed at suitable places, for example at or between a number of nodes. This makes it possible to avoid problems in the calculation due to the number of dynamic elements being too small.

[0010] For this purpose, the dynamic elements additionally inserted into the circuit can be reduced step-by-step to zero from an initial value, for example tending to infinity, for which the operating point calculation is trivial, so that the original circuit is again simulated.

[0011] In a preferred embodiment of the invention, at least one node, that is to say a junction of at least two current paths, of the circuit is connected by a capacitance to a predetermined value having a predetermined potential.

[0012] In a further development of the invention, however, a capacitance, the second terminal of which is in each case connected to a predetermined potential, for example to ground, can also be connected to each node via all partitions. This procedure has the advantage that the calculation of the operating point, i.e. of the respective potentials of the individual nodes, is trivial for the circuit for an initial value for the capacitances which tend toward infinity, due to the equation, which is then explicit, being solved. Changing the value for the capacitances step-by-step then makes it possible to change the circuit simulation by suitable new selection of the value for the capacitances until a calculation of the operating point of the circuit is obtained for a value of the capacitances tending toward zero or almost toward zero.

[0013] By also implementing this charging method for parallel calculation of an electrical circuit, a very large circuit having a multiplicity of transistors can be advantageously calculated in a fast and simple manner even with a smaller number of dynamic elements. Various procedures are conceivable for the respective new determination of the value for the capacitance with the aim of allowing this to go toward zero, the decision criterion being the degree of difficulty of calculating the operating point of the respective preceding step.

[0014] The operating point obtained by solving a nonlinear equation for a particular value of the capacitances in each case can be solved, for example, iteratively by the Newton method. For choosing the next value for “C”, the number of iteration steps necessary for the solution can then be used until the value drops below a predetermined value for “C”.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0015] These and other objects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent and more readily appreciated from the following description of the preferred embodiments, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings of which:

[0016] In the text which follows, the invention will be explained by means of an exemplary embodiment shown in the drawing. In the drawing, the single figure shows a flowchart which represents the individual method steps of the method.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0017] Reference will now be made in detail to the preferred embodiments of the present invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings, wherein like reference numerals refer to like elements throughout.

[0018] According to the drawing, a simulation of an electrical circuit is the starting point 1, for example in the circuit description language SPICE. This is partitioned in a first processing process 2, as described, for example, in WO 98/24039 as “Clustering method”, so that individual partitions or parts of the circuits are obtained which can be calculated with the same degree of difficulty, if possible.

[0019] Naturally, other applicable variants of partitioning are conceivable such as, for example, the “ratio cut method” described in N. Fröhlich, B. Riess, U. Wever, Q. Zheng: “A new approach for parallel simulation of VLSI circuits on a transistor level” (IEEE Transaction on

Circuits and Systems – I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, Vol. 45, No. 6, June 1998, pages 601 to 613), or even an arbitrary “manual” division.

[0020] In a further process step 3, a grounded capacitance is added to each node of the circuit, that is to say to a junction of at least two conductors or current paths, respectively. Naturally, it is also conceivable to add a capacitance, the second terminal of which is connected to a predetermined potential, in which case both potentials and values for the capacitances of each node can differ. For reasons of efficiency, stability and economy of calculation, each capacitance is connected to the same potential with its second terminal, for example ground, an identically high value C_0 also being selected for all capacitances in step 4 for the same reasons.

[0021] For this value C_0 , the operating point is then calculated for each partition or part-circuit in a further step 6, in which the required coupling values, that is to say the values for the coupling points or interfaces of adjacent partitions being exchanged and included in the calculation of the operating points of adjacent partitions.

[0022] In this process, a partition which will be called “master” in the text which follows can advantageously take over the control of the charging process for reasons of efficiency. The master then determines the initial value C_0 for the capacitances, and it is also conceivable to predetermine the initial value externally, for example by the user. This value C_0 is then transferred to all other partitions, called slaves in the text which follows. Following this, the operating point is calculated both in the master partition and in all slave partitions, during which process, naturally, the full source vector, which represents the energy sources existing in the circuit, is present at the circuit.

[0023] Starting from an overall problem to be solved

$$x = (m, s_1, \dots, s_p)$$

where m is the unknowns of the master,

p is the number of partitions,

s_i is the unknowns of partition i ,

the charging process leads to the system of differential equations,

$$f(x, t) + D \frac{dx}{dt} = 0$$

where

$D = \text{diag}(C, \dots, C, 0, \dots, 0)$ and

t is the time.

[0024] This system of differential equations can be solved, for example, by the implicit Euler method which leads to the non-linear equation

$$f(x^{k+1}, t^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{h} D(x^{k+1} - x^k) = 0$$

where x^k is the solution at time t^k for $k = 0, 1$, etc and h is the step $t^{k+1} - t^k$. This non-linear equation can be solved, for example, iteratively by the Newton method

$$x_{n+1}^{k+1} = x_n^{k+1} - \left(f_x(x_n^{k+1}, t^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{h} D \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(f(x_n^{k+1}, t^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{h} D(x_n^{k+1} - x^k) \right)$$

for $n = 0, 1$, etc.

[0025] In the parallel calculation, the master then only calculates the unknowns “m”, the unknowns “s_i” representing fixed areas for the master. To be able to calculate a solution of this, the slaves or slave partitions calculate the corrected values s_i^{k+1} in each iteration step of the master and report these to the master. For this purpose, the slaves must solve the system of non-linear equations.

$$f_i(s_i^{k+1}, m_n^{k+1}, t^{k+1}) + \frac{1}{h} D_i((s_i^{k+1}, m_i^{k+1}) - (s_i^k, m^k)) = 0$$

where f_i and D_i are the corresponding equations and matrices for the slave “i”. This non-linear equation can also be calculated by the Newton method and not all iteration steps have to be necessarily performed to convergence.

[0026] The results of the slaves are then inserted into the system equations of the master whereupon the master can calculate the expression m_{n+1}^{k+1} .

[0027] In a next step 7, a new value “C_{new}” for “C” is determined by the master and the slaves can also make suggestions. To achieve the aim, namely a value for “C” tending to zero or, respectively, less than a predetermined value ϵ , and thus a calculation of the original circuit, the

choice of the new value " C_{new} " for " C " is made dependent on the difficulty of calculating the preceding step with the value " C_{old} " for " C ".

[0028] In this context, various procedures are conceivable, for example the choice of " C_{new} " in dependence on an analysis of the number of iteration steps which the master needed for calculating the non-linear system of equations for the preceding value " C_{old} " for " C ":

$$C_{\text{new}} = \begin{cases} C_{\text{old}}/2 & \text{is } n < n_1 \\ C_{\text{old}} & \text{is } n_1 \leq n \leq n_2 \\ C_{\text{old}} \cdot 2 & \text{is } n_2 > n \end{cases}$$

where " n " is the number of iterations of the master and " n_1 " " n_2 " are the parameters predetermined by the user. Naturally, instead of halving or doubling " C_{old} ", respectively, other strategies for reducing or enlarging " C_{old} " are also possible. Additionally, the number of iteration steps needed by the slaves or slave partitions for solving their non-linear system of equations can also be taken into consideration, for example through the choice of

$$n = \max \left(n, \sum_{i=1}^n n_{i, \text{slavel}}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n n_{i, \text{slavep}} \right)$$

where $n_{i, \text{slavej}}$ is the number of iteration steps of slave j , during the i -th iteration of the master. It must be noted in this context that the choice of a value for " C " only affects the efficiency of the method not the operating point itself.

[0029] After a value for " C " of less than or equal to a predetermined value e has been reached, the calculation is terminated at branch 5 and the value for C can be set to "0" in a last step. The operating point of the original circuit is thus found as result 8 and can be output via output units such as for example, a screen, printer or the like and/or stored in a memory as basis for further analyses of the circuit.

[0030] Due to the method described above, an operating point of a very large electrical circuit can be advantageously calculated in parallel by a multiplicity of computers or processors and the disadvantage of known parallel types of calculation, namely lack of convergence with unfavorable starting values can be avoided. Due to the parallel calculation, the expenditure for

calculation with regard to iteration steps and setting a value for "C" step by step, can be kept within tolerable limits due to the distribution to a number of processors.

[0031] The operating point thus calculated is then used as basis for further analyses, for example the alternating-current analysis of a circuit.

[0032] The invention has been described in detail with particular reference to preferred embodiments thereof and examples, but it will be understood that variations and modifications can be effected within the spirit and scope of the invention.

20220706 09:00:00